# HE

## APPENDIX AVAILABLE ON THE HEI WEBSITE

## **Research Report 199**

# **Real-World Vehicle Emissions Characterization for the Shing Mun Tunnel in Hong Kong and Fort McHenry Tunnel in the United States**

Xiaoliang Wang et al.

# **Appendix D. Additional Discussion**

This Appendix was reviewed solely for spelling, grammar, and cross-references to the main text. It has not been formatted or fully edited by HEI. This document was reviewed by the HEI Review Committee.

Correspondence may be addressed to Dr. Xiaoliang Wang, Desert Research Institute, 2215 Raggio Pkwy., Reno, NV 89512; e-mail: *xiaoliang.wang@dri.edu*.

Although this document was produced with partial funding by the United States Environmental Protection Agency under Assistance Award CR-83467701 to the Health Effects Institute, it has not been subjected to the Agency's peer and administrative review and therefore may not necessarily reflect the views of the Agency, and no official endorsement by it should be inferred. The contents of this document also have not been reviewed by private party institutions, including those that support the Health Effects Institute; therefore, it may not reflect the views or policies of these parties, and no endorsement by them should be inferred.

© 2019 Health Effects Institute, 75 Federal Street, Suite 1400, Boston, MA 02110-1817

### APPENDIX D. ADDITIONAL DISCUSSION

#### Influence of High Emitters on the FMT Regression Results

Restriction of the HD traffic to Bore 4 of the FMT allowed investigation of emission factors for LD vehicles using real time data. Unfortunately, no  $CO_2$  real time data were available during the winter campaign and the CO monitors did not perform well during both campaigns; the analysis was thus restricted to NO<sub>x</sub> EFs during the summer campaign. The real time  $CO_2$  and NO<sub>x</sub> data were averaged to 5-min intervals corresponding to the traffic data. In summer, there were 204 five-minute observations during which no HD vehicles were present in Bore 3 of the FMT. This amounts to the total of 17 h during which 16552 LD vehicles passed through Bore 3. Using real time  $CO_2$  data, fleet-average EFs for NO<sub>x</sub> were calculated for each of the 204 LD-only periods. A histogram of EFs during these periods is shown in Figure D.1.



Figure D.1. NO<sub>x</sub> emission factors for LD vehicles calculated for periods with no HD traffic during the 2015 FMT study.

The mean NO<sub>x</sub> EF for LD-only periods was 3.33 g/kg-C, which was close to  $1.9 \pm 0.5$  g/kg-C obtained by the regression method of all traffic composition data. The distribution of EFs, however, showed two peaks, one with the mean of 2.97 g/kg-C and another with the mean of 6.59 g/kg-C, as well as a relatively small number of even higher emitters. The first peak represented 92% of all LD vehicles and 82.2% of the total LD emissions, the second represented 6.7% of LD vehicles and 13.4% of all LD emissions. Vehicles with EFs higher than 9 g/kg-C comprised only 1.3% of the LD fleet, but were responsible for 4.4% of all LD emissions.

Real time data were also used to assess  $NO_x$  EFs of HD vehicles. The following analysis was performed. The LD EF was fixed at a certain value (the pure LD EF of 3.33 g/kg-C was used as an initial guess) and "effective" emission factors for HD vehicles were calculated for 5 min data collected in both bores using the following formula:

$$EF_{HD,i} = \left[EF_{FA,i} - (1 - f_{HD,i}) \times EF_{LD}\right] / f_{HD,i}$$
(D.1)

where  $EF_{HD,i}$  is the "effective" EF of HD vehicles during observation i,  $EF_{FA,i}$  is the corresponding fleet-averaged EF,  $f_{HD,i}$  is the fuel-efficiency-weighed fraction of HD vehicles during observation i, and  $EF_{LD}$  is the emission factor of LD vehicles that is fixed at a certain value. The key to this approach is that  $EF_{HD,i}$  should not depend on the fraction of HD,  $f_{HD,i}$ , i.e., the assumption is that HD vehicles of different emission characteristics are randomly distributed over all observations. If plotted vs.  $f_{HD,i}$  should be randomly (and normally) distributed around the mean EF of the HD fleet.

A plot of  $EF_{HD,i}$  vs.  $f_{HD,i}$  in Bore 3 for  $EF_{LD} = 3.33$  g/kg-C is shown in Figure D.2. The noise in the effective values is strongest at the low  $f_{HD,i}$  values, because division by small  $f_{HD,i}$ values amplifies any variability in fleet EFs, as well as experimental uncertainty. As  $f_{HD,i}$ increases, the noise decreases and  $EF_{HD,i}$  values converge to a mean value of about 12 g/kg-C. This value is almost 2 times lower than the HD EF of 20.6 g/kg-C obtained by the regression method. A closer observation of the plot at  $f_{HD,i} > 0.2$  shows that  $EF_{HD}$  are biased high relative to the asymptotic value of 12 g/kg-C and decrease with increasing  $f_{HD}$ . This suggests that  $EF_{LD}$  is underestimated in this  $f_{HD}$  range (as the fraction of LD vehicle decreases, deviation from the asymptotic value decreases). Indeed, fixing  $EF_{LD}$  at 5.5 g/kg-C removes the bias of  $EF_{HD}$  relative to its asymptotic value at  $f_{HD,i} > 0.2$ . It should be noted that this  $f_{HD}$  range contains most HD vehicles and thus should weigh more in derivation of  $EF_{HD}$  than the high LD fraction range. Increasing  $EF_{LD}$  to 7.8 g/kg-C causes the  $EF_{HD}$  to be biased low at  $f_{HD,i} < 0.2$ , indicating that in that range LD vehicles have a lower EF. Indeed,  $EF_{LD} = 3.33$  g/kg-C provides a symmetric spread around some mean value in that range (see Figure D.2, left panel).



Figure D.2. Effective NO<sub>x</sub> emission factors for HD vehicles calculated for Bore 3 of FMT during the 2015 study. The top panel shows effective  $EF_{HD}$  for a fixed  $EF_{LD} = 3.3$  g/kg-C; the bottom panel for  $EF_{LD} = 5.5$  g/kg-C. Note a better convergence to the asymptotic value (red line) at larger HD fractions in the right panel.

A similar situation is observed in Bore 4 (Figure D.3). Using  $EF_{LD} = 5.3$  g/kg-C (Figure D.3 left panel), most observations in Bore 4, including the lowest f<sub>HD</sub> values, are normally distributed around the asymptotic line of 12.8 g/kg-C, a value close to that in Bore 3. However, there is another band of observations that is biased high. The bias, again, decreases with increasing f<sub>HD</sub>, indicating that EF of LD in that fraction of observations is underestimated. An EF of 22 g/kg-C is required for these observations to be normally distributed around a constant value of 22 g/kg-C, which corresponds to  $EF_{HD}$  of that group. This value is somewhat higher than the  $EF_{HD}$  value obtained by the regression method (20.6 ±3 g/kg-C). These observations suggest that there are several groups of both LD and HD vehicles (or different driving regimes) that have emission factors different from those derived with the regression method. The average  $EF_{HD}$  could be actually lower than the regression-derived value of 20.6 g/kg-C, while  $EF_{LD}$  either increases in the presence of HD vehicles (probably due to a more erratic, brake-accelerate driving) or higher polluting LD vehicles tend to follow HD vehicles. If any of this is the case, it could bias the regression method, underestimating LD emissions and over-estimating HD emissions. More research is needed to verify these observations.



Figure D.3. Effective NO<sub>x</sub> emission factors for HD vehicles calculated for Bore 4 of FMT during the 2015 study. The top panel shows effective  $EF_{HD}$  for a fixed  $E_{LD} = 5.3$  g/kg-C; the bottom panel, for  $EF_{LD} = 22.0$  g/kg-C.

Table D.1. Vehicle emission reduction measures in Hong Kong, 1999-2015 (HKEPD 2017d; Lau et al. 2015)

Year	Actions
1999	Dynamometer smoke test for light-duty vehicles
2000	Diesel to liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) taxi
	Trap/diesel oxidation catalyst (DOC) retrofitting for Pre-
	Euro light-duty vehicles (LDV)
	Ultra-low sulfur diesel (ULSD, 50 ppmw S)
	The fine of fixed penalty ticket raised to \$1000
2001	Euro III standard phase-in
2002	Dynamometer smoke test for heavy-duty vehicles
	Diesel to LPG light bus
2003	Trap/DOC retrofit for Pre-Euro light- and heavy-duty diesel
	vehicles
2005	Reduce petroleum sulfur (S) from 150 to 50 ppmw
2006	Euro IV standard phase-in
2007	Replacement of Pre- Euro I commercial vehicles
	Euro V diesel (10 ppm S)
2008	DPF retrofitting for Euro II/III franchised buses
2010	Replacement of Euro II diesel commercial vehicles
	Euro V standard phase-in
	Euro V motor vehicle fuels (10 ppmw S)
2011	Switch off the engines while the vehicles are stationary
2012	Euro V standard for all vehicles
2014	Phase out of Pre-Euro IV diesel commercial vehicles
	Hybrid and electric bus pilot program
	Replacement of LPG vehicle catalytic converters
	Monitor gasoline and LPG emissions using roadside remote
	sensing equipment
	Incentive-cum-regulatory approach for vehicle replacement
2015	SCR retrofitting for Pre-Euro IV franchised buses



**Figure D.4. Trends of (a) estimate of criteria pollutant emissions by the road transport sector and vehicle kilometer travelled (VKT) in Hong Kong relative to 2003 level (HKEPD 2017e; HKTD 2016) ; and (b) ambient concentrations measured from roadside sites in Hong Kong.** The *y*-axis shows levels normalized by 2003 values.



Figure D.5. Annual average ambient concentrations of (a) CO, (b) NO, (c) NO<sub>2</sub>, (d) NO<sub>x</sub> (as NO<sub>2</sub>), (e) SO<sub>2</sub>, and (f) PM<sub>2.5</sub> measured from air monitoring stations in Hong Kong over the period of 1999–2015. (HKEPD 2016, 2017a)