Evaluating the Sensitivity of PM_{2.5}-Mortality Associations to the Spatial and Temporal Scale of Exposure Assessment and the Inclusion of Immigrant Populations



Anders C Erickson¹, Scott Weichenthal², Dan L Crouse³, Tanya Christidis⁴, Lauren Pinault⁴, Amanda Pappin⁴, Aaron van Donkelaar⁵, Chi Li⁵, Jun Meng⁵, Randall V. Martin⁵, Perry Hystad⁶, Jeffery Brook⁷, Michael Tjepkema⁴, Rick Burnett⁸, Michael Brauer¹

Sensitivity to Spatial and Temporal Scale of Exposure Assessment

Background & Objectives

+ The temporal and spatial scale of $PM_{2.5}$ exposure assignment may impact the magnitude of association with mortality at low mass concentrations.

Data

- 2001-2011 Canadian Census Health and Environment Cohort (N=2.4 million).
- PM_{2.5}: Satellite AOD/GEOS-CHEM/Geographically-weighted regression
- \bullet NO₂: National land use regression model.
- \bullet O₃: National model (Environment Canada).

Methods

- Cox proportional hazards models.
- \neq PM_{2.5} exposures assigned at three spatial scales (1-km², 5-km², 10-km²) and three temporal scales (1-year, 3-years, 8-years).
- Different spatial scales (i.e. 1-km² vs. 10-km²) were examined based on age, employment status, and urban/rural location.
- + Examined sensitivity of PM_{25} -mortality associations to inclusion of oxidant gases.

Results

- Longer moving averages and smaller spatial scales generally resulted in stronger associations.
- Adjusting for oxidant gases attenuated associations between PM_{2.5} and cardiovascular mortality and strengthened associations for lung cancer.
- PM_{2.5} was associated with mortality in nearly all of the models examined at 1-km² spatial resolution.

Conclusions

- \bullet PM_{2.5}-mortality associations are sensitive to the spatial and temporal scale of exposure assignment as well as oxidant gases.
- Respiratory outcomes were most sensitive to the spatial scale of exposure assessment
- Overall, these results support a relationship between long-term exposure to PM_{25} and mortality at low mass concentrations.

Results

Nonaccidental Mortality



Lung Cancer Mortality



Cardiovascular Mortality



Respiratory Mortality



1: University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada; 2: McGill University, Montreal, QC; 3: University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, NB, Canada; 4: Statistics Canada, Ottawa, ON, Canada; 5: Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS, Canada;

Research described in this article was conducted under contract to the Health Effects Institute (HEI), an organization jointly funded by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) (Assistance Award No. R-82811201) and certain motor vehicle and engine manufacturers. The contents of this article do not necessarily reflect the views of HEI, or its sponsors, nor do they necessarily reflect the views and policies of the EPA or motor vehicle and engine manufacturers.

Assessment of Immigrant Populations

Background & Objectives

- Canadian immigrants have lower mortality risks than the nativeborn population, but little is known about the impact of ambient air pollution exposure on their long-term health.
- **Objective:** To assess the risk of non-accidental and causespecific mortality to the Canadian immigrant population with exposure to PM_{25} compared to the non-immigrant population.

Data

- 2001-2016 Canadian Census Health and Environment Cohort (CanCHEC, N=3.5 million) linked to longitudinal mortality and air pollution exposure via annual residential postal code histories.
- PM_{2.5}: Satellite AOD/GEOS-CHEM/Geographically-weighted regression.

Methods

- \bullet Cox proportional hazards models used to estimate PM_{2.5} exposure and cause-specific mortality relationship.
- Immigrants grouped by year immigrated to Canada: >30 years, 21-30 years, 11-20 years, ≤10 years.
- Examined models comparing Canada-born (CB) non-immigrants to all foreign-born (FB) immigrants or stratified by year immigrated.
- + Assessed mortality risk by year immigrated with increasing PM_{25} exposure.

Results

Table 1

On average, immigrants had 20% higher exposure to ambient PM_{25} than non-immigrants.

Figure 1

Notable cohort differences of characteristics between nonimmigrants, established immigrants (pre-1971) and more recent immigrants (1971-2000), but particularly among recent immigrants (post-1980).

Figure 2

Immigrants tend to show larger PM_{2.5} hazard ratios compared to non-immigrants for cardiovascular related mortalities, largely driven by pre-1970 and 1981-1990 immigrants.

Figure 3

+ Clear mortality trend at mean PM_{25} levels (7.5µg/m³), deteriorates with increasing exposure levels.

Conclusions

- Despite overall lower mortality risk, immigrants were more sensitive to PM_{2.5} compared to non-immigrants for CVD-related causes of death.
- Trend in mortality advantage was not consistent across immigrant cohorts with increasing PM_{2.5} exposure.
- Immigrant cohort differences over time presents challenges to disentangle the PM_{25} health effects on mortality from other risk factors.













Results

Table 1: Cohort size and PM_{2.5} exposure levels

Year Immigrated				
n-immigrants	Pre-1971 1	1971-1980 1	L981 — 1990	1991-2000
2,417,175	255,985	138,260	125,780	164,405
7.53 (2.7)	9.13 (2.5)	9.28 (2.3)	9.54 (2.1)	9.69 (2.0)
	1-immigrants 2,417,175 7.53 (2.7)	1-immigrants Pre-1971 2,417,175 255,985 7.53 (2.7) 9.13 (2.5)	Year Imm 1-immigrants Pre-1971 1971-1980 1 2,417,175 255,985 138,260 1 7.53 (2.7) 9.13 (2.5) 9.28 (2.3)	Year Immigratedn-immigrantsPre-19711971-19801981 – 19902,417,175255,985138,260125,7807.53 (2.7)9.13 (2.5)9.28 (2.3)9.54 (2.1)

Figure 1: Cohort characteristics



Figure 2: PM_{2,5} hazard ratios per 10µg/m³ of Foreign-born (FB) immigrants and Canada-born (CB) non-immigrants



Figure 3: Non-accidental mortality hazard ratios by Year of Immigration with increasing PM₂₅



Year Immigrated Group 1: pre-1970 (reference group) Group 2: 1971-1980 Group 3: 1981-1990 Group 4: 1991-2000







