## Ultrafine Particles: What Progress Have We Made and What Questions Remain?

World Health Organization



Lidia Morawska Queensland University of Technology Collaborating Centre for Air Pollution and Health





WHITE PAPER Ambient ultrafine particles: evidence for policy makers

Prepared by the

*'Thinking outside the box'* team

Coordinated by: Flemming R. Cassee Udia Morawska Annette Peters

#### **Exposure:**

- Lidia Morawska, QUT
- Aneta Wierzbicka, Lund U
- Aurelio Tobias, IDÆA
- Xavier Querol, ID/EA
- Giorgio Buonanno, U Cassino
- Hicran Altug, U Hospital Düsseldorf
- Josef Cyrys,
- Jürgen Schnelle-Kreis, CMA Munich
- Karin Hougaard, APHA
- Michal Kowalski, EPI Munich
- Micheal Riediker, SCOEH
- Per Schwarze, NIPH
- Regina Pickford, EPI Munich
- Wolfram Birmili, UBA, Germany

## *The Team: Thinking outside the box*

Ambient ultrafine particle: evidence for policy makers. White paper. Pfintal, Germany: European Federation of Clean Air and Environmental Protection Associations: 2019 (https://efca.net/files/WHITE%20PAPER-UFP%20evidence%20for%20policy%20makers%20(25%20OCT).pdf,.

#### **Toxicology:**

- Flemming Cassee, RIVM
- Ali Önder Yildirim, HMGU Munich
- Alison Elder, URMC
- Dietrich Plaß, UBA, Germany
- Je Yu, KEMTI
- Johan Øvrevik, NIPH
- Otmar Schmid, ILBD
- Steffen Loft, U Copenhagen
- Tobias Stöger, U Copenhagen

#### **Epidemiology:**

- <u>Annette Peters</u>, EPI Munich
- Susanne Breitner, EPI Munich
- Timo Lanki, NIHW Finland
- Enembe Okokon, NIHW Finland
- Regina Pickford, EPI, Helmholtz Center Munich
- Evangelia Samoli, U Athens
- Tamara Schikowski, IUF Düsseldorf
- Massimo Stafoggia, Lazio Region Health Service
- Alexandra Schneider, EPI Munich
- Siqi Zhang, EPI Munich
- Barbara Hoffmann, U Hospital Düsseldorf
- Kai Chen, EPI Munich
- Kathrin Wolf, EPI Munich
- Nino Künzli, Swiss TPH
- Ron Kappeler, Swiss TPH
- Sarah Lucht, U Hospital Düsseldorf

Recommendations: EXPOSURE

WHITE PAPER Ambient ultrafine particles: evidence for policy makers

Prepared by the *Thinking outside the box* tear

> Coordinated by: Flemming R. Cassee Udia Morawska Annette Peters

### Progress: Recommendations 1, 2 and 3

### Future: Recommendations 4 and 5





Recommendation to quantify ambient quasi-UFP in terms of particle number concertation (PNC) in a range at least down to 10 nm, with no restriction on the upper limit



**From toxicology:** For practical reasons, using particle number as a predictor may be preferred above mass and surface area, especially if the particle size distribution is known

## Significance

This is an essential criterion for:

- Design of exposure/epi studies
- Carrying out the meta analysis





## Background 1a

### ISO/TC 146/SC 2/WG1 N 320 defines:

...an **ultrafine particle** as "A particle sized about 100 nm in diameter or less"



1. The recommendation means that SMPS and CPC data can be used

2. An error/uncertainly due to missing the first few nm:

- Could be calculated/corrected for lower size limit up to 10 nm.
- Negligible for lower size limit less than 5-6 nm



### Background 1b

### The impact of the lower cut off

### Number of particles < 10 nm

- Morning traffic: ~ 1% (orange)
- During the day: about 4% (blue)
- During NPF: higher (grey)



Number of particles < 20 nm

Initial stages of NPF -blue curve) ⇒ 65% Fully developed NPF-red curve) ⇒ 42%





The following <u>daily (24 hours) mean</u> PNC can be considered as *typical*, based on the scientific literature:

- Clean environments < 10<sup>3</sup> particles cm<sup>-3</sup> (not affected by anthropogenic emissions)
- Urban background < 10<sup>4</sup> particles cm<sup>-3</sup>

In *typical* clean urban microenvironments <u>hourly mean</u> concentrations <  $2x10^4$  particles cm<sup>-3</sup>



The uncertainty in the calibration of PNC measuring instruments varies:  $\sim 30\%$  for  $< 10^3$  particles cm<sup>-3</sup>



~ 10% for ~10<sup>4</sup> particles cm<sup>-3</sup> (typical urban background concentrations)

## Significance

At the moment that are no reference or guideline values for exposure to UFP

"... the existing body of epidemiological evidence is insufficient to conclude on exposure/response relationship to UFP". (WHO 2005)

Typical values can serve as a comparative reference





Morawska, et al. Atmospheric Environment, 42: 8113-8138, 2008

### Background 2b



De Jesus et al. Ultrafine particles and  $PM_{2.5}$  in the air of cities around the world: how similar or different are their drivers? Environment International, 129, 118-135, 2019

The following should not be used as proxies of UFP:

- PM<sub>2.5</sub>
- CO
- NO<sub>x</sub>
- BC







### Significance

Using other pollutants as proxies of exposure to UFP leads to exposure misclassification

Very little/no relationship between PNC and PM<sub>2.5</sub>

The existence/degree of the relationship between PNC and traffic emitted gases and BC vary → specific to the environment







### Background 3a



•De Jesus et al. Ultrafine particles and PM<sub>2.5</sub> in the air of cities around the world: how similar or different are their drivers? Environment International, 129, 118-135, 2019 <sup>14</sup>

### Background 3b

- PNC decreased in all cities
- PM<sub>2.5</sub> to lesser extend

elli

 The years in which the reduction in concentration occurred do not coincide for PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PNC



De Jesus at al., Long-term trends in PM2.5 mass and particle number concentrations in urban air: the impacts of mitigation measures and changing climates. Env Pollution, 263, 114500. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2020.114500

Regulatory air quality monitoring strategy should be extended by integration of UFP for reporting purposes

Parameters monitored should allow quantification and characterization of **primary versus secondary** particles and their source contribution







- Mean UFP concentration similar in all 3 cities
- BC higher in Barcelona and Tenerife

> Association with daily mortality:

- In Barcelona and Tenerife with N1
- In Huelva with N2

(none were significant)



Tobias et al,. Short-term effects of ultrafine particles on daily mortality by primary vehicle exhaust versus secondary origin in three Spanish cities. Env. International, 111 (2018) 144-151.

Efforts should be stepped up to utilize the emerging science and technology to advance approaches to the assessment of exposure to UFP for application in epidemiological studies and management.

- Modelling tools
- Increasing the number of monitors
- Utilise mobile platforms







# Not outside of the box yet, but on the way!



Thinking outside the box team, Munich, Germany, February 2019



