

US EPA Workshop on Ultrafine Particles: Summary and Potential Next Steps

Beth M. Hassett-Sipple

Air, Climate, and Energy Research Team US EPA Office of Research and Development May 5, 2015

Overview



- Purpose and scope of Feb 2015 workshop
- Key discussion topics
- Next steps under consideration

Workshop Purpose and Scope



- Gather international experts to review current state of the science, across scientific disciplines
 - Sources and emissions
 - Ambient measurements
 - Air quality modeling
 - Control strategies
 - Health effects assessment
- Consider how information has been used within different policy contexts to date, including internationally
- Provide opportunity to explore options for future research collaborations

Key Discussion Topics



- UFPs represent a complex mixture derived from many sources (emitted directly or formed in the atmosphere)
 - Combustion and atmospheric chemistry constantly generate UFPs
- Regulating by mass provides significant public health protection
 - Continued evaluation of constituents and unique physical attributes of UFPs warranted
- Size makes a difference may alter deposition site, translocate systemically
- UFPs contribute little to mass but can have high surface reactivity
- Uncertainty related to characterizing UFP exposures
 - Limited routine monitoring
 - Potentially strong spatial and temporal variability
- Need to improve our understanding of potential health effects related to UFP exposures and the role the ultrafine fraction plays in the air pollution "story"
 - Evidence suggestive but limited for cardiovascular and respiratory effects associated with short-term exposures; inadequate for long-term exposures
 - Need to expand our understanding of UFPs within broader ambient mixture;
 specifically, differentiating effects of UFP from PM_{2.5} and other co-pollutants

Key Issue



- Methods and metrics for identifying and characterizing emissions and impacts from UFP exposures have not been consistent
 - Size, number, surface area, mass, EC...???

As a result, integrating information across studies has been difficult and not conducive to adequately assessing potential health effects attributed to UFPs

• There may be more than one "right" metric

Various Metrics and Indicators Used

Current ambient PM Standards

Mass

- UFP contributes very little to mass of PM_{2.5}
- Varying fractions considered (e.g., PM_{0.1}; PM_{0.25})
- Currently, bulk of health outcomes are tied to PM_{2.5} mass

Surface Area / Reactivity

High UFP surface area may increase toxicity

Number

- UFP's exceedingly abundant
- Different cutoff diameters used (e.g., 100 nm, 200 nm, 500 nm)
- Solid particle count >23 nm (European PMP method for emissions)

Next Steps Under Consideration



- Develop workshop summary report (in progress)
- Identify strengths and limitations of available metrics
 - Explore options for developing consensus for metric(s) that will better integrate emissions and ambient measurements with future exposure and health studies
 - Evaluate and, as needed, develop refined methods to measure UFPs
- Consider options, as appropriate, for expanding existing ambient monitoring networks
- Promote international collaborations and information exchange to improve our understanding of:
 - Emissions and control strategies
 - Air quality
 - Exposures
 - Health impacts
 - Role of UFPs vs. co-pollutants

Additional Information



- Workshop materials available at: <u>https://sites.google.com/site/2015ufpworkshop/home</u>
- Contacts:
 - Rich Baldauf; <u>baldauf.richard@epa.gov</u>; 919-541-4386
 - Beth Hassett-Sipple, hassett-sipple.beth@epa.gov; 919-541-4605